

From: Daly, Eric [Daly.Eric@epa.gov]
Sent: 12/17/2015 6:00:06 PM
To: Nwosu, Bernard [Ben.Nwosu@WestonSolutions.com]
CC: Nguyen, Lyndsey [Nguyen.Lyndsey@epa.gov]
Subject: Revised Summary of info needed for all of Daly Sites

Ben and Lyndsey:

Please see the updated info below highlighted and underlined. Thanks

This is what I have for NFB, CRU and HTC:

Information that is still pending from EPA to Weston:

1. CRU: Site-specific action level for radiological parameters – **(Lyndsey is working with Lora Smith and should be able to have these numbers early next week).** Lyndsey is working on this today with Lora Smith.
2. HTC: Site-specific action level for Bi-210, Pb-210, and Th-234, which were not included in the list received **(Lyndsey will need to comment on this)** This will most likely be addressed next week.
3. NFB: Do we have an action level for aqueous matrix (pg. 3 of the table)? **This question is for all the sites. We will require site-specific action levels for each site.** This will most likely be addressed next week.
4. Swipe sample locations Niagara Falls Blvd and Holy Trinity **(I provided this last week)** Oleg compared wipe results for the Moffat Street Site with the following statement: “These levels are below 100 dpm and 1,000 dpm, respectively, outlined in New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (NYC DOHMH) Article 175 of the NYC Health Code, “Radiation Control”, §175.03 - Release of Materials or Facilities.” **Do you intend to consider this for the rest of the NY Rad sites? See Attachment E.** This will most likely be addressed next week.
5. Swipe sample locations Canadian Radium – Completed.
6. I have not received your decision regarding EPA standards to compare with TAL Metals + mercury analytical results. This will most likely be addressed next week.

Information that Eric and Lyndsey need for NFB Action Memo

1. Lyndsey needs to review current action memo version with Mark Pane/Eric Daly comments **(I will email Friday Morning)**
2. Lyndsey needs to review shielding alternative narrative that Eric created based on Wolff Alport Shielding info **(I will email Friday Morning)**

Information that Eric and Lyndsey need for E. Wilson/D. Gaughan Phone Conference

1. CRU: PRG values
2. CRU: Proposed additional survey/sample locations based on Pre-Remedial Gamma Survey

3. CRU: Historical research on when last removal occurred (Dan thinks that report shows a removal in the 90's. I have asked Dan to provide document that explains any removal)

Wolff Alport Site/Moffat Street Assessment:

1. Oleg needs to finalize the Response Action Report (He informed me today that he would have it within the month) **We received the attached documents (renamed Attachment D and the table (Att-D Appx-1) formatted for report purposes) from Oleg. Are we to expect a final revised version of this document before we can conclude our Removal Assessment Trip Report? This is a question I will pose to Oleg.**
2. Moffat Street Swipe Samples results (I had the Ludlum 3030 fixed and Oleg is having issues with it again. It is not passing functionality test. He is going to troubleshoot with Ludlum. If he cannot resolve, I will send the samples to Lyndsey for her to analyze at her earliest convenience). **Please see attached wipe sample report from Oleg (renamed Attachment E and formatted by RST 3 for report purposes) and confirm if we are still expecting a revised version. Will the wipe samples be analyzed again by Lyndsey? These samples are supposed to be mailed to Lyndsey attention today. So the report format you received from Lyndsey for NFB, HTC and CRU will be the same.**

Most importantly, you both are the main reason we have gotten this far on all of these sites. I am going to say something again to Dee about you Lyndsey. Ben, I was in a training with Rich Craig and Tim Benton yesterday and told them how instrumental you have been. I am not sure if my praise holds any weight but I know it is better than complaints. ☺